

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,316	07/02/2002	Toshiharu Furukawa	BUR920010222	8270
23550	7590 06/21/2004		EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			VU, HUNG K	
3 E-COMM SQUARE ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			٠
•	ď	ı	٦
	7	١	ľ
	•	ı	r
٠	d	ı	ł

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/064,316	FURUKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hung K. Vu	2811	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

There final recondit	fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have fee und (2) as s	706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension e been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension er 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if illed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
•	)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Attachment</u> .
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	Hung llu
	Hung Vu

Patent Examiner

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Extensive amendments to claim 1 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

## Response to Arguments

Claim 34 recites a spacer having a first portion and a second portion, a contact having a portion that extends on two opposing vertical sides of a first portion of the spacer. According to Figure 19 of the instant Application, there is no an insulating layer having a portion that extends on two opposing vertical sides of the second portion of the spacer. The left most side of Figure 19 shows a single insulating layer 24 having a portion that extends on two opposing vertical sides of a second portion of a different spacer. Claimed limitations which include the phrases "a first spacer and a second spacer adjacent the gate electrode", "a contact having a portion that extends on two opposing vertical sides of a first spacer …" and "an insulating layer having a portion that extends on two opposing vertical sides of the second spacer …" would overcome the rejection.